



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/000,123	12/04/2001	Chiaki Goto	Q66564	8466
75	90 07/09/2003			
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			AL NAZER, LEITH A	
Washington, DC 20037-3202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	
			DATE MAILED: 07/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-326 (Re		Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 7		
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
15) Attachment	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S	.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
	☐ The translation of the foreign language p		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome				
* S	application from the International E ee the attached detailed Office action for a li	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	)).		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received i	n Application No		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.			
a)[	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:				
13)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
12) 🔲 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the ${}^{ }$	Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.			
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) [	disapproved by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to				
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to I	by the Examiner.		
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	,		
	on Papers	2.2.2.2.2.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement	PAUL IP Supervisory patent examiner		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		1 and or		
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.		P. a De		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd				
· _	Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application	on.			
,	closed in accordance with the practice undo on of Claims				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo		matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
2a)□		This action is non-final.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
- Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statuory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the main dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, ma eply within the statutory minimum o od will apply and will expire SIX (6) rute, cause the application to becom	f thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF		MONTH(S) FROM		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	ppears on the cover snee	t with the correspondence address		
	The MAIL INC DATE of this communication of	Leith A Al-Nazer	2828		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		10/000,123	GOTO, CHIAKI		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		

Application/Control Number: 10/000,123

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's argument is in most in view of the new ground of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8 6/2763

Page 2

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 3-5, 7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Verdiell et al '417.

With respect to claim 1, Verdiell teaches a semiconductor light-emitting device (10), an external resonator including a wavelength selector (22), a stripe (38) formed in the semiconductor light emitting device so that it is oblique to one end facet (figure 2), and one end facet of the semiconductor device having an antireflective coating (AR in figure 2).

With respect to claim 3, Verdiell teaches the wavelength selector having a function of returning the wavelength-selected light to the semiconductor light-emitting device; and the external resonator being constituted by the wavelength selector (43) and an end facet (34), on the opposite side from the wavelength selector, of the semiconductor light-emitting device (figure 2).

With respect to claim 4, Verdiell teaches the stripe having a bent portion and being formed perpendicular to the end facet, on the opposite side from the wavelength selector, of the semiconductor light-emitting device (figure 2).

Application/Control Number: 10/000,123

Art Unit: 2828

With respect to claims 5, 7, and 8, Verdiell teaches an optical waveguide device (40) being coupled to the semiconductor light-emitting device or wavelength selector.

3. Claims 1, 3-5, 7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hamakawa et al '692.

With respect to claim 1, Hamakawa teaches a semiconductor light-emitting device, an external resonator including a wavelength selector (B in figure 4), a stripe formed in the semiconductor light emitting device so that it is oblique to one end facet (column 3, lines 53-63), and one end facet of the semiconductor device having an antireflective coating (column 2, lines 25-50).

With respect to claim 3, Hamakawa teaches the wavelength selector having a function of returning the wavelength-selected light to the semiconductor light-emitting device; and the external resonator being constituted by the wavelength selector (21) and an end facet (12), on the opposite side from the wavelength selector, of the semiconductor light-emitting device (figures 4-7).

With respect to claim 4, Hamakawa teaches the stripe having a bent portion and being formed perpendicular to the end facet, on the opposite side from the wavelength selector, of the semiconductor light-emitting device (figure 4).

With respect to claims 5, 7, and 8, Hamakawa teaches an optical waveguide device (250) being coupled to the semiconductor light-emitting device or wavelength selector.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/000,123 Page 4

Art Unit: 2828

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9-29, 31, 33, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawai et al '138.

With respect to claims 1, 33, and 35, Kawai teaches a semiconductor light-emitting device, an external resonator including a wavelength selector (122-1 and 122-2), a stripe formed in the semiconductor light emitting device so that it is oblique to one end facet (figure 12), and one end facet of the semiconductor device having an antireflective coating (column 3, lines 55-60).

With respect to claim 2, Kawai teaches two wavelength selectors disposed on both sides of the semiconductor light-emitting device (122-1 and 122-2 in figure 12).

With respect to claims 5 and 6, Kawai teaches an optical waveguide device (120) being coupled to the semiconductor light-emitting device or wavelength selector.

With respect to claims 9-14, Kawai teaches the wavelength selector having a function of returning the wavelength-selected light to the semiconductor light-emitting device and being disposed on one side of the semiconductor light-emitting device; the optical waveguide device being disposed on the other side of the semiconductor light-emitting device; and the external

Art Unit: 2828

resonator being constituted by an end facet, on the opposite side from the semiconductor lightemitting device, of the optical waveguide device, and the wavelength selector (figure 12).

With respect to claims 15-18, Kawai teaches the optical waveguide device (110) being disposed in the external resonator, which is defined by gratings 122-1 and 122-2.

With respect to claim 19, Kawai teaches an end facet of the optical waveguide device that constitutes the external resonator being cut perpendicular to a direction where an optical waveguide of the optical wavguide device extends (figure 9A).

With respect to claim 20, Kawai teaches an end facet of the optical waveguide device that does not constitute the external resonator is cut oblique to a direction where an optical waveguide of the optical waveguide device extends (figure 12).

With respect to claims 21-27, Kawai teaches the optical waveguide being coupled directly to the semiconductor light-emitting device or wavelength selector (figures 2A and 2B).

With respect to claim 28, Kawai teaches the wavelength selector being a waveguide type wavelength selector having a reflection Bragg grating (122-1 and 122-2) in an optical waveguide portion (120).

With respect to claim 29, Kawai teaches the wavelength selector and the semiconductor light-emitting device being coupled directly with each other (figure 12).

With respect to claim 31, although not explicitly stated, a driver circuit is inherent in the system taught by Kawai.

Application/Control Number: 10/000,123 Page 6

Art Unit: 2828

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. Claims 30, 32, 34, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawai et al '138 in view of prior art figure 17 and the prior art disclosure.

With respect to claims 30, 34, and 36, the prior art disclosure teaches an external resonator being constituted by a mirror (page 1, lines 18-23), and a wavelength selector comprising a narrow-band pass filter (page 1, lines 15-18). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to include a mirror to constitute the external resonator, and a wavelength selector comprising a narrow-band pass filter. The motivation for doing so would have been to resonate a desired light frequency within a resonant cavity.

Application/Control Number: 10/000,123 Page 7

Art Unit: 2828

With respect to claim 32, although not explicitly stated, a driver circuit is inherent in the system taught by Kawai.

## Citation of Pertinent References

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents further show the state of the art with respect to laser light sources:
  - a. U.S. Patent No. 5,793,521 to O'Brien et al discloses an optical gain medium (13), an external resonator (11), wherein a stripe is formed in the semiconductor light-emitting device so that it is oblique to one end facet.
  - b. U.S. Patent No. 5,808,746 to Koishi et al discloses a slant optical waveguide structure (figure 4B) for reducing the reflectance on the light-emitting side.
  - c. U.S. Patent No. 4,901,123 to Noguchi et al discloses an optical waveguide stripe that is oblique to an end facet (figures 3 and 4).

## **Communication Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leith A Al-Nazer whose telephone number is 703-305-2717. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on 703-308-3098. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 10/000,123

Art Unit: 2828

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7724 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-3329.

LA June 20, 2003 PAUL IP SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 Page 8